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1. Introduction

From natural gas extraction to the construction of wind turbines, and from the supply of coffee beans to long-term investment contracts, most transactions which leave an imprint on the environment and communities are organized by commercial contracts. Little is known, however, on the way parties reflect sustainable development in contractual clauses. Whereas general contract law aspects relating to sustainable development have been addressed in scholarship,¹ several questions need further consideration. How can parties to international contracts commit to respect sustainable development goals? What are the possible degrees of commitment? Which contractual mechanisms can apply to the monitoring of compliance? How does one establish a link between the failure to meet sustainable development goals and the contractual liability or termination of contract?

This paper identifies contractual clauses relating to sustainable development and analyses these clauses through the lens of the UNIDROIT Principles of International Commercial Contracts 2016 (UPICC).² Drawing inspiration from open access contracts,³ the analysis will demonstrate that article 1.8 UPICC precluding inconsistent behaviour and articles 5.1.4 and 5.1.5 on the duty of best efforts and the obligation to achieve a specific results, as well as the provisions on liability may assist contract drafters, judges and arbitrators in drafting and interpreting such clauses. Before doing so, a remark on the choice to discuss such clauses through the lens of the UPICC needs to be made. International contracts are ultimately governed by (mandatory) national law, as defined by conflict of laws rules, unless a uniform instrument, for example, the


² <unilex.info> accessed 27 April 2022.

³ The paper relies on clauses which can be retrieved from the open access of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission <https://www.sec.gov/edgar/search-and-access> accessed 27 April 2022. Furthermore, it refers to the model clauses drafted by the American Bar Association (ABA). See Working Group to Draft Model Contract Clauses to Protect Human Rights in International Supply Chains, ABA Section of Business Law, Balancing Buyer and Supplier Responsibilities, Model Contract Clauses to Protect Workers in International Supply Chains, Version 2.0 <americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/administrative/human_rights/contractual-clauses-project/mcco-full-report.pdf> accessed 27 April 2022.
Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods (CISG), applies. Since national approaches to the interpretation of contracts vary, a meaningful discussion on contract clauses needs to include comparative law observations. Alternatively, one could rely on an international soft law instrument, which offers solutions formulated with due regard to comparative law considerations. The UPICC meet this condition, and the principles have been used by the judges as a source which helps interpreting national law and the CISG. Furthermore, the UPICC can be invoked in situations where national contract law plays a less important role. For instance, if a contractual dispute is submitted to arbitration and the tribunal is allowed to decide as amiable compositeur, it may rely on the UPICC, because they draw considerable authority on the experience of the drafters and on the quality of solutions, which reflect modern approaches to contract law. Hence, the UPICC offer an appropriate reference for a discussion on clauses relating to sustainable development in this paper.

The paper is structured as follows. Firstly, the concept of sustainable development is sketched (section 2). Thereafter, clauses setting the standards of performance (section 3) and clauses related to liability (section 4) are discussed. Finally, concluding remarks are provided (section 5).

2. Sustainable development

The problem of over-exploration of natural resources has been known for millennia, and there are historical records of regulation relating to what we call today sustainable development. Yet the modern concept is fairly recent. It was defined by the Brundtland Commission in 1987 as ‘development that meets the needs of the present generation without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs’. This definition has paved the way to the establishment of a heterogeneous regulatory framework at the crossroads of international environmental law, human rights law, and international economic law.

As to the substance, the framework has evolved around five pillars: environmental development, economic development, and social development, inter-generational equity (promoting the account of interest of future generations), and intra-generational equity (promoting reduction of poverty and inequalities between different countries and between

---

communities within one State). As to the form, this framework has evolved at different levels of rule-making. At the international level, human rights treaties and international environmental law regulate the duties of States, while most of the sources addressed to private parties are non-binding. The most recent sources include the United Nations 2030 Sustainable Development Goals and the European Green Deal. Several organisations and countries have been also working on binding instruments.

In the meantime, businesses have included references to sustainable development in various corporate policy documents, including ‘codes of conduct’ on ‘Environmental, Social and Governance’ (ESG), ‘Corporate Social Responsibility’ (CSR), ethics, and business and human rights. To facilitate and monitor compliance with such policy documents, many private initiatives have been established.

The evolution of this framework has been also influenced by the increasingly active role of civil society. Private actors have initiated unprecedented climate litigation against both states and private companies. Private claims for environmental damage and human rights breaches, which multinational companies committed in developing countries, have been instituted in Western courts, and these court have accepted jurisdiction. This has resulted in the extension of the already heterogeneous regulatory framework to private regulation, tort law, and
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13 Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, The European Green Deal, COM/2019/640 final.


15 The most prominent is the United Nations Global Compact. For an overview of the initiatives, see Benjamin Richardson and Beate Sjåfjell, ‘Business and Industry’ in Lavanya Rajamani and Jacqueline Peel (eds) (n 10) 729.


private international law. Furthermore, sustainable development has been reflected in various contract clauses, on which we elaborate further below.

3. **Clauses setting the standards of performance**

Parties can incorporate the ESG and CSR codes of conduct into their contract. This precludes behaviour, which is inconsistent with these codes of conduct (3.1). Furthermore, parties may formulate a requirement to comply with sustainable development goals as a duty to use best efforts (3.2) or as an obligation to achieve a specific result (3.3). Finally, an additional incentive to achieve the goals may be provided by a *bonus/malus* clause (3.4).

3.1. **References to codes of conduct: precluding inconsistent behaviour**

A contract may include the following clause:

L’Orange shall implement procedures that demonstrate its commitment to the Rolls-Royce Supplier Code of Conduct as set out in Annex 6 (“Code of Conduct”). L’Orange shall use its commercially reasonable efforts to communicate these principles to its suppliers and encourage them to implement practices consistent with these principles.21

What are the implications of such clause? It has at least three implications. First, the content of the document referred to in the clause is incorporated into the contract. This means that each term of the code of conduct becomes a part of the contract and forms contractual obligations binding on both parties. The contractual technique of incorporation may be subject to the difficulties of interpretation. For instance, difficulties can arise if the validity of the agreement—the validity of the contractual consent—to respect the code of conduct is disputed or if parties reserve the right to unilaterally modify the content of the document(s) incorporated. However, most legal systems have the tools to deal with such difficulties, and the UPICC do so in Chapters 2 and 3.

Second, subject to successful incorporation, the clause precludes behaviour which is inconsistent with the content and spirit of this document. According to article 1.8 UPICC, ‘a party cannot act inconsistently with an understanding it has caused the other party to have and upon which that other party reasonably has acted in reliance to its detriment.’ This provision draws on the principle *venire contra factum proprium* known in the civil law legal traditions and on the common law doctrine(s) of estoppel. Depending on the formulation of the clause, the duty may arise for one or both parties of the contract. A breach of the duty may entail creation,
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22 This provision gives further content to the general duty of good faith and fair dealing in international trade in the performance of obligations, laid down in article 1.7 UPICC.

loss, suspension, or modification of rights. For instance, a party may be precluded from reliance on a right, which it would otherwise have.\textsuperscript{24}

Third, the clause requires to use best efforts to integrate one party’s code of conduct in the other party’s operations. The duty of best efforts is worth further investigation.

### 3.2. Sustainable development as the duty of best efforts

Article 5.4.1(2) UPICC defines the duty of best efforts as an obligation to ‘make such efforts as would be made by a reasonable person of the same kind in the same circumstances.’\textsuperscript{25} The efforts relating to sustainable development may be specified in different ways. As noted above, the clause may refer to an ESG or CSR code of conduct, incorporated into the contract. Alternatively, the clause can specify which objective standards accepted in a particular industry (\textit{les regles de l’art}) should be taken into account. For example:

\begin{quote}
Service Provider agrees (...) to (i) perform all of its duties (...) in a good, safe, workmanlike and commercially reasonable manner in accordance with International Mining Practice and Standards; and (ii) perform Services in reasonable alignment with (...) GF Companies’ safety, sustainability policies and Code of Conduct.
\end{quote}

In the next illustration, the accent lies on best efforts, as opposed to an obligation to achieve specific results. The tenant undertakes to use ‘commercially reasonable efforts’ in achieving sustainability targets relating to the parties’ project:

\begin{quote}
Tenant shall use commercially reasonable efforts to ensure energy efficient operation of the Premises (...) by reasonably minimizing unnecessary use of electricity, water, heating and air conditioning. (...). For the avoidance of doubt, this Section (...) shall not require Tenant to expend any funds to meet any such sustainability targets or to minimize unnecessary use.
\end{quote}

The best efforts clause entails more specific obligations than preclusion of inconsistent behaviour. However, the degree of commitment remains relatively low, because the codes of conduct are typically formulated as principles, and it remains difficult to determine which performance is required. Hence, the best efforts duty will correspond to a minimum degree of diligence. It will correspond to the performance of the contract in a manner which may be generally expected from a diligent (commercial) party in the same circumstances.

In many cases, the standard of diligence will not be difficult to define. In some situations, however, it may create difficulties in interpretation. Although the different pillars of sustainable development (briefly sketched above) are interrelated, they can imply competing objectives. For instance, economic growth can come at the expense of preserving the habitat for further generations; combatting poverty can clash with individual or collective right to clean environment. Striking a balance between the pillars, with reference to the objective test of a diligent party in the same circumstances, which lies at the heart of the role businesses actually play in sustainable development, might be a challenging task for a judge or arbitrator. Reducing the standard of performance to the duty of best efforts might not be satisfying from a principled

\begin{footnotesize}
\textsuperscript{24} Illustrations 1–7 to article 1.8 UPICC.  
\textsuperscript{26} Asanko Gold Inc. (AKG, GAU), Form 6-K (Current report) EX-99.2, 13 August 2018, retrieved from <https://www.sec.gov/edgar/search/#> accessed 27 April 2022.  
\textsuperscript{27} EXELIXIS, INC. (EXEL), Form 10-Q (Quarterly report) EX-10.2, 01 August 2018, retrieved from <https://www.sec.gov/edgar/search/#> accessed 27 April 2022.
\end{footnotesize}
point of view, according to which the regulation of sustainable development should eliminate any non-compliance, not simply allow a tolerance level chosen by the company itself, following a risk analysis. Yet acting in commercially reasonable manner to get profits, is inherent to business activity.28 The awareness of the delicacy of the balance between the various pillars of sustainable development may assist in this task. It may also be of assistance in determining whether an obligation at hand implies a duty of best efforts or a duty to achieve a specific result, as provided by article 5.1.5 UPICC.

3.3. Sustainable development goals as specific result

Parties can reflect sustainable development goals in an obligation to achieve a specific result. This type of obligation is described in article 5.1.4 UPICC. To specify the result, measurable standards of performance in the field of sustainable development are needed. These can be established by reference to various objective indicators, for example, intelligence data provided by third parties, such as the S&P Global ESG score.29 Parties may also refer to the certifications from third-party environmental certifiers, for example:

‘LEED, IREM CSP, ENERGY STAR, and other similarly recognized third-party green building certifications’.30

Furthermore, parties may refer to the so-called ‘key performance indicators’ (KPI) relating to sustainable development. The KPI can be either individually negotiated and included in the contract or made public by one of the parties. Such KPI are typically made public as part of sustainability, CSR, and business and human rights reports. The following excerpt of a press release illustrates this:

The Company has also established ambitious economic, environment, social and governance (“E²SG”) objectives. (…) Summary highlights of our 2021+ targets are outlined below and further details can be found in our 2020 Annual Integrated Report.31

A reference to a well-defined KPI or a set of KPI, sometimes called ‘ESG Trigger’,32 establishes specific and stringent standards of performance. Such clauses offer an efficient way to ensure compliance, because it is easy to ascertain the achievement of a quantified and measurable target. The reference has also a downside. Not all sustainable development goals can be quantified. Hence, the focus on the goals which can be quantified may come at the cost of the (contractual) incentive to comply with other, more broadly formulated principles. To prevent

this, a contract drafter may prefer to cover broader goals by a best efforts clause and combine it with the duty to achieve specific results covering the targets which can be quantified.

3.4. **Bonus and malus for achieving sustainability goals**

If sustainable development goals are defined, parties can provide an additional incentive—a *bonus*, an additional gratification—for achieving them. The following example illustrates such mechanism:

In addition, the Amendment provides for an “ESG” incentive for the Company to achieve certain sustainability targets (...). If the Company meets these targets, the spread over LIBOR for the Tranche B Term Loan will be reduced by 0.01%.  

In the next illustration, both an upward and downward (*malus*) change in the interest rate are stipulated:

>[T]he applicable interest rate for borrowings under the Facilities will be subject to downward or upward adjustments (up to 0.03% per annum) depending on the level of the Company’s achievement of certain specified sustainability targets set by the Company.  

Such additional incentive fits the regulatory framework of sustainable development, which deals primarily with compliance and prevention of breaches, rather than with liability.

4. **Liability-related clauses**

In line with the emphasis on compliance, parties may establish contractual mechanisms to monitor compliance (4.1) and recovery in case of breach (4.2). Finally, parties may explicitly mention the breach of sustainable development obligation(s) as the reason to terminate the contract (4.3).

4.1. **Clauses on monitoring of compliance**

A contract formalises a deal between two parties, but the deal may also form an element of a long(er) chain of sourcing or production. One of the challenging aspects of enforcement of the regulatory framework of sustainable development in supply chains is that if a breach occurs at the other stage of such chain, the privity of contract limits the possibility of enforcement to the parties of the contract.  

In an attempt to tackle this limitation, the model clause on sustainable development drafted by the American Bar Association (ABA) requires that all the contracting parties, all the way down the supply chain, comply with sustainable development obligations, which are at least as strict as the initial ones. The clause further requires that parties ‘keep records of such written contracts’ and disclose them at the other party’s request.

Another way to ensure compliance throughout the entire supply chain is a detailed clause on monitoring of compliance. The clause can provide the so-called chain-leader with the right to visit other party’s premises, with or without prior notification and describe the processes which are at least as strict as the initial ones. The clause further requires that parties ‘keep records of such written contracts’ and disclose them at the other party’s request.
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33 HEALTHCARE REALTY TRUST INC (HR) (n 30).
35 Cafaggi (n 1); Scheltema (n 1); Verbruggen (n 1); Castermans and Fauvarque-Cosson (n 1); Ulfbeck and Hansen (n 1). See also Livia Ventura, ‘Supply Chain Management and Sustainability: The New Boundaries of the Firm’ (2021) 26(3) Uniform Law Review 599.
36 American Bar Association (n 3).
allow the identification of possible breaches by third parties. These breaches can be subsequently related back to the contract, to assess, for instance, the performance of the clauses setting the standards of sustainable development.

4.2. Recovery plan or period as first remedy

Once a breach of obligation(s) relating to sustainable development is discovered, liability, recovery of damages and contract termination can follow. However, if parties prefer to place emphasis on compliance, they may draft a clause which prevents the immediate termination of the contract, but requires drawing up a corrective action plan and contain the deadlines for taking corrective action. The corrective action may also include intensified cooperation between the two parties, as illustrated below:

If reasonably deemed necessary by GSK in consultation with Lyell, then Lyell will allow GSK to conduct an onsite inspection of the Bothell Facility in order to confirm implementation of the recovery plan. If Lyell fails to implement the recovery plan to GSK’s reasonable satisfaction, then GSK has the right to terminate activities.\(^\text{41}\)

4.3. Link with termination

Finally, to give sustainable development obligations special weight, a clause can link their breach with the right to immediately terminate the contract, as stated in the example:

Amarin may terminate this Agreement by written notice with immediate effect: (...) in the event Biologix’s conduct is deemed prejudicial to the interests of Amarin. Biologix acknowledges that any OECD Convention, FCPA, UK Bribery Act or other legislation violation, or refusal to subject itself to a Compliance Audit shall be considered highly prejudicial to the interests of Amarin.\(^\text{42}\)

Such provision contributes to legal certainty and can provide an additional deterrent from non-performance.

5. Concluding remarks

The crystallization of the concept of sustainable development, its growing societal importance, and the increasing role of civil society paved the way for reflecting sustainable development in contract clauses. If one looks at these clauses through the lens of the UPICC, one distinguishes various degrees of compliance, to which parties can commit. The duty of consistent behaviour is the broadest undertaking with the lowest degree of commitment. A clause implying the duty of best efforts sets more stringent standards of compliance. It requires to take all the objectively reasonable steps to comply with sustainable development goals specified in the clause. The most stringent standards of performance are imposed by a clause requiring to achieve a specific result. Such clause may specify the exact standards of performance by reference to ‘key performance indicators’ or to the data provided by independent third parties.

\(^{37}\) For an example see the illustration in section 4.2.

\(^{38}\) Article 7.4.1 and following UPICC.

\(^{39}\) Article 7.1.5 UPICC, see also article 7.3.1 and following UPICC.

\(^{40}\) On theoretical underpinnings of such cooperation, see Mark T. Kawakami, ‘Pitfalls of Over-Legalization: When the Law Crowds Out and Spills Over’ (2017) 24(1) Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies 147.

\(^{41}\) Lyell Immunopharma, Inc. (LYEL), Form 10-K (Annual report) EX-10.16, 29 March 2022, retrieved from <https://www.sec.gov/edgar/search/#> accessed 27 April 2022.

To ensure compliance, a combination of the three different types of clauses may be necessary, since not all sustainable development goals can be quantified. Furthermore, contract drafters may create contractual mechanisms to mitigate risks and ensure compliance. Contractual practice evolves, and while sustainable development finds a still more clear reflection in various contract clauses, contract law, as restated in the UPICC’s soft law provisions, has the tools to conceptualise this evolution.